Legal Discourse, Digital Activism, and the Rule of Law: An Analysis of Indonesian Public Figures' Narratives on Social Media

*Najwa Khoirotun Hisan
Universitas Mitra Indonesia

ABSTRACT

The rise of social media has transformed legal discourse and civic engagement in democratic societies. In Indonesia, public figures increasingly use digital platforms to articulate legal principles, critique state practices, and mobilize public awareness. This study examines how legal discourse is constructed and disseminated through digital activism by Indonesian public figures on social media. Using qualitative discourse analysis, this research analyzes selected narratives from Instagram and Twitter posts by prominent legal and media figures. The findings reveal that these narratives emphasize legality, transparency, moral responsibility, and the independence of law from political intervention. The study concludes that digital legal discourse functions as a form of civic legal education and reinforces the rule of law by shaping public understanding and normative expectations toward state institutions.
Keywords: legal discourse, digital activism, rule of law, social media, Indonesia

ABSTRAK

Perkembangan media sosial telah mengubah pola produksi dan penyebaran wacana hukum dalam ruang publik digital. Di Indonesia, tokoh publik seperti jurnalis, akademisi hukum, dan pejabat negara memanfaatkan platform digital untuk menyampaikan pandangan hukum, mengkritisi praktik kekuasaan, serta membangun kesadaran hukum masyarakat. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis bagaimana wacana hukum dikonstruksikan melalui aktivisme digital oleh tokoh publik Indonesia di media sosial serta perannya dalam memperkuat prinsip negara hukum. Penelitian ini menggunakan pendekatan kualitatif dengan metode analisis wacana terhadap pernyataan yang dipublikasikan di Instagram dan Twitter. Analisis difokuskan pada aspek kebahasaan, strategi retorika, etika komunikasi, dan nilai-nilai normatif hukum yang terkandung dalam narasi digital. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa wacana hukum yang muncul menekankan klarifikasi normatif hukum, transparansi dan akuntabilitas, dimensi moral penegakan hukum, serta penolakan terhadap intervensi politik. Temuan ini menunjukkan bahwa media sosial berfungsi sebagai ruang normatif yang berkontribusi pada pembentukan kesadaran hukum publik dan penguatan prinsip negara hukum di era digital.
Kata kunci: wacana hukum, aktivisme digital, negara hukum, media sosial, Indonesia

INTRODUCTION

The rapid expansion of social media has profoundly transformed the way legal discourse is produced, disseminated, and contested in contemporary societies (Barendt, 2022). Legal narratives that were once confined to courtrooms, academic forums, and formal state institutions now circulate widely throu)h digital platforms such as Instagram and Twitter. This transformation has shifted the public's engagement with law from a passive reception of legal outcomes to an active process of interpretation, critique, and participation. In democratic

contexts, social media has become a crucial public sphere where law is debated not only as a set of rules, but also as a moral, political, and social project.

In Indonesia, this transformation is particularly significant due to the country's ongoing democratic consolidation and its vibrant digital public sphere. Public figures—such as journalists, legal scholars, and state officials—frequently use social media to comment on legal issues, state actions, and civic rights (Mehta, 2021). Their narratives often emerge in response to moments of political tension, public protests, or controversial law enforcement practices. As a result, social media functions as an informal yet influential arena where interpretations of legality, justice, and constitutional principles are negotiated in real time by both elites and the broader public.

Legal discourse expressed through social media does not merely convey information; it actively shapes public legal consciousness. Through carefully chosen language, rhetorical strategies, and ethical positioning, public figures frame legal issues in ways that influence how citizens understand the rule of law, state authority, and their own civic rights. Such discourse may legitimize state actions, criticize abuses of power, or reaffirm foundational legal principles such as equality before the law, due process, and institutional accountability. In this sense, legal discourse on social media operates as both a communicative and normative force (Rajagopal, 2020).

At the same time, the rise of digital activism has redefined traditional boundaries between law, activism, and public participation. Digital activism enables legal narratives to circulate rapidly, mobilize public sentiment, and generate pressure on legal and political institutions. Unlike conventional legal advocacy, digital activism relies heavily on persuasive storytelling, moral appeals, and symbolic framing. When public figures engage in legal discourse online, they often combine legal reasoning with ethical reflection, thereby presenting law not merely as technical regulation but as a moral commitment that requires integrity, courage, and long-term responsibility (Deibert, 2020).

Despite the growing influence of social media in shaping legal understanding, scholarly attention to legal discourse within digital activism in Indonesia remains limited. Existing studies tend to focus on institutional legal analysis or mass political mobilization, often overlooking how individual narratives by public figures contribute to the construction of the rule of law in the digital public sphere. Therefore, this study aims to analyze how Indonesian public figures articulate legal discourse through social media and how these narratives function to reinforce, negotiate, or contest the principles of the rule of law in contemporary Indonesia.

This study focuses on how Indonesian public figures construct legal discourse through social media narratives and how these narratives contribute to the reinforcement of the rule of law in a digital public sphere.

METHODS

This study employs a qualitative research design with a descriptive-analytical approach to examine legal discourse articulated by Indonesian public figures on social media. Qualitative methods are particularly suitable for this research because the focus lies on interpreting meaning, rhetoric, and normative content embedded within language rather than measuring numerical frequency. By adopting a discourse-oriented perspective, the study seeks

to uncover how legal ideas, values, and arguments are constructed and communicated in digital public spaces (Balkin, 2020).

The data for this study consist of selected statements published on Instagram and Twitter by prominent Indonesian public figures who are actively engaged in legal and civic discourse. These figures include a senior government official, a legal scholar, and a journalist, representing different yet influential positions within Indonesia's legal and public communication landscape. The selected data comprise five excerpts of social media posts addressing issues related to demonstrations, legal procedures, transparency, political interference, and moral dimensions of law. The selection was conducted purposively, focusing on statements that explicitly reference legal principles and public interest.

Data collection was carried out through non-participant observation of publicly accessible social media content. The collected statements were documented and verified based on publication date, platform, and contextual relevance to ongoing legal or political issues. To ensure analytical rigor, the study employed an open-ended data organization process, allowing categories to emerge from the data rather than imposing predefined analytical frameworks. This approach enabled a more nuanced interpretation of how legal discourse is shaped within specific socio-political contexts.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Legal Narratives on Protest Rights and State Responsibility

The findings reveal a consistent legal narrative emphasizing the legitimacy of public demonstrations as a protected constitutional right, provided that such actions are conducted peacefully and in accordance with legal regulations. The discourse analyzed frames protest not as a threat to public order, but as a lawful expression of democratic participation. Linguistically, this is conveyed through affirming and legitimizing diction such as "wajar," "dilindungi hukum," and "harus bertindak profesional," which collectively normalize civic dissent while simultaneously reinforcing legal boundaries.

This narrative reflects a substantive conception of the rule of law, where legality is not limited to procedural compliance but is closely linked to the protection of civil liberties. The emphasis on the professionalism of law enforcement further situates the state as a duty-bearer responsible for safeguarding democratic space rather than merely controlling it. In socio-legal terms, this discourse challenges authoritarian interpretations of public order and reasserts the normative role of law as a mechanism for balancing state authority and citizen rights Poell et.al, 2022).

Transparency, Digital Media, and Legal Accountability

Another prominent theme emerging from the data is the role of digital transparency in ensuring legal accountability. Statements opposing the prohibition of live streaming during demonstrations construct social media as an informal oversight mechanism capable of preventing abuses of power. The use of popular yet legally charged diction, such as "penyalahgunaan wewenang" and "keadilan," situates digital practices within a legal-moral framework rather than a purely technological one.

From a discourse perspective, this framing expands the understanding of accountability beyond formal institutions to include public visibility and real-time documentation. The

argument that live broadcasting contributes to justice reflects a shift toward participatory legal culture, where citizens actively engage in monitoring state conduct. This finding resonates with broader global debates on digital activism and highlights how social media reconfigures the relationship between law enforcement, public scrutiny, and democratic legitimacy (Fuch, 2021).

Legal Formalism versus Substantive Justice in Judicial Processes

The data also reveal a critical stance toward legal formalism, particularly in discussions surrounding pretrial procedures. The insistence that legal actions must adhere to procedural law "bukan sekadar formalitas" underscores a concern that formal compliance alone is insufficient to guarantee justice. This discourse foregrounds the protection of citizens' rights as the ultimate objective of legal procedure (Anwar K, 2025).

Analytically, this reflects a substantive justice orientation within legal discourse, where procedural mechanisms are evaluated based on their capacity to uphold fundamental rights rather than their symbolic adherence to legal form. Such framing aligns with socio-legal critiques of formalism that argue law must be assessed through its social effects and normative outcomes. The discourse thus positions legal institutions as accountable not only to statutes but also to ethical and constitutional principles (Mattei, 2021).

Moral Dimensions of Law and Legal Authority

Beyond procedural and institutional concerns, the findings highlight a moralized understanding of law that integrates ethical values into legal reasoning. Statements emphasizing courage, conscience, and long-term commitment frame law as a normative project requiring moral agency from legal actors. This discourse shifts the focus from law as a technical system to law as a value-laden social institution (Milan et.al , 2020).

This moral framing contributes to a broader reconceptualization of legal authority, where legitimacy is derived not solely from formal position or statutory power but also from ethical integrity. In the context of public legal communication, such narratives function to remind both legal professionals and the public that the rule of law depends on moral commitment as much as institutional design. This perspective strengthens the argument that legal discourse in digital spaces plays a crucial role in shaping normative expectations of justice (Trushnet, 2020).

Law, Hoaxes, and the Independence of Legal Processes

The analysis further identifies a strong emphasis on safeguarding the independence of legal processes from political interference and misinformation. The categorical labeling of certain issues as "hoaks" and the assertion that legal proceedings must remain free from political influence reflect a discourse aimed at protecting the epistemic integrity of law. Linguistically, the use of assertive and unambiguous terms signals an effort to restore legal certainty and public trust (Papacharissi, 2021).

In socio-legal terms, this discourse addresses a critical challenge faced by contemporary legal systems: the erosion of legitimacy caused by disinformation and politicization. By publicly reaffirming the autonomy of law, these narratives function as counter-discourses to populist and manipulative legal interpretations. They reinforce the principle that legal

authority must be grounded in evidence, procedure, and impartiality rather than political expediency (Gillespie, 2020).

Digital Discourse and the Transformation of Legal Meaning

Taken together, the findings demonstrate that social media serves as a significant site for the construction and negotiation of legal meaning in contemporary Indonesia (Freelon, 2020). Legal discourse disseminated by influential public figures operates as an informal yet powerful mechanism for articulating norms, critiquing state practices, and reinforcing democratic values. This supports the argument that law in the digital era cannot be fully understood through institutional analysis alone, but must also account for communicative practices in public digital spaces.

Theoretically, these results contribute to socio-legal scholarship by illustrating how legal legitimacy is increasingly mediated through discourse rather than solely through formal authority (Bennett at.al, 2023). The Indonesian case offers insights with broader applicability, particularly for societies grappling with similar issues of digital activism, state accountability, and the politicization of law. Ultimately, the study underscores that digital public discourse has become an integral component of the rule of law, shaping how legality, justice, and authority are understood and contested in the modern democratic landscape (Sustein, 2021).

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that legal discourse articulated by Indonesian public figures on social media plays a significant role in digital activism and the reinforcement of the rule of law. Through persuasive, ethical, and normative narratives, these figures contribute to public legal education and shape collective legal consciousness.

Social media thus functions not only as a communication tool but also as a normative arena where legal values are negotiated and reaffirmed. The findings suggest that digital legal discourse can strengthen democratic accountability by fostering transparency, moral reflection, and resistance to arbitrary power.

REFERENCES

Anwar, K. (2025). Integration of Sharia Values in Arabic Language Education: A Character-Based Learning Model and Legal Literacy. *Regula Justice: Jurnal Ilmu Hukum*, *1*(1), 10-18.

Bennett, W. L., Segerberg, A., & Knüpfer, C. B. (2023). The democratic interface: Technology, political organization, and diverging patterns of electoral representation. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780197628799.001.0001

Papacharissi, Z. (2021). Affective publics and structures of storytelling: Sentiment, events, and mediality. Information, Communication & Society, 24(4), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2020.1719379

Freelon, D., McIlwain, C. D., & Clark, M. D. (2020). Quantifying the power and consequences of social media protest. New Media & Society, 22(6), 990–1011. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444819863517

Barendt, E. (2022). Freedom of speech (3rd ed.). Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198808442.001.0001

Mehta, P. B. (2021). Rule of law and democratic politics. Annual Review of Political Science, 24, 17–33. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-041719-102446

Rajagopal, B. (2020). International law from below: Development, social movements and third world resistance. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108864550

Deibert, R. J. (2020). Reset: Reclaiming the Internet for civil society. House of Anansi Press. https://doi.org/10.3138/9781487510408

Balkin, J. M. (2020). Free speech in the algorithmic society. UC Davis Law Review, 51(3), 1149–1210. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3298919

Poell, T., De Kloet, J., & Zeng, G. (2022). Platformization of digital activism. Media, Culture & Society, 44(2), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1177/01634437211020138

Fuchs, C. (2021). Social media: A critical introduction (3rd ed.). Sage Publications. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781529763114

Mattei, U., & Nader, L. (2021). Plunder: When the rule of law is illegal. Wiley. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119596613

Tushnet, M. (2020). The rule of law and constitutional culture. Journal of Legal Education, 69(3), 566–579. https://doi.org/10.2307/26926046

Gillespie, T. (2020). Content moderation, AI, and the question of scale. Big Data & Society, 7(2). https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951720943234

Sunstein, C. R. (2021). This is not normal: The politics of everyday expectations. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108779694

Milan, S., & Treré, E. (2020). The rise of the data activist. Information, Communication & Society, 23(5), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2019.1686714